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#### Abstract

This research paper is to define new rule to find the divisibility of stream of binary on any prime numbers $3,5,7 \ldots \ldots$ with reminder 0 . In general, the divisibility of binary numbers is most important in many digital circuits and mathematical applications. This paper explains this new rule for evaluating the divisibility of any binary number on any prime number greater than 2 . This rule will depend on separating the binary number into blocks of bits then processes each block separately in a special procedure to find the possible divisibility on the prime number. After testing this new rule with prime numbers 3,5 , and 7 as a sample of prime numbers, the finding shows that this rule provides fast and true results.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This research paper is to define new rule to find the divisibility of stream of binary on any prime numbers $3,5,7 \ldots$ with reminder 0 . Criptography theory relize on the principle of divisibility. In general, the divisibility of binary numbers is most important in many digital circuits and mathematical applications [1]-[3]. A positive integer $P$ which is larger than 1 is called a prime number if $\forall n N, n \mid P \Rightarrow n 1 n=P$. A number which is not a prime is called a composite number. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic (FTA) says every integer larger than 1 can be expressed as a product of primes in a unique manner apart from their order. Prime numbers are used in cryptography to calculate the public and private keys. Its strength heavily depends on the difficulty of decomposing large integers into their factors. For instance, Diffie-Hellman used prime numbers in his key exchange [4]-[9].

Divisible codes were introduced for the first time by H. N. Ward in 1981 [10]-[15]. A divisible code is a linear code over a finite field whose code words all have weights divisible by some integer $\Delta>1$, where $\Delta$ is called a divisor of the code. A binary linear code is said to be of (divisibility) level e if e is the greatest integer such that $2^{e}$ is a divisor of the code. The doubly-even binary self-dual codes may be viewed as level 2 divisible codes attaining the largest conceivable dimension for their lengths. Liu [16] gave an exact upper bound for the dimension of binary divisible codes in terms of code length and divisibility level (when the level is at least 3) and prove the uniqueness up to equivalence of the code attaining this bound, given the hypothesis that a certain non-zero weight exists. Also, this research paper proves that the hypothesis is true for level 3 divisible codes of maximum dimension with relatively short lengths.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 2.1. Mathematical background

The fermat's little theorem [17]-[21] states that:
If $p$ is any prime number and a is any integer such that $p$ does not divide $a$, then:

$$
a^{\wedge}(P-1)=1 \bmod P
$$

Also, it is possible to make use of the mod operation properties as: $a-c n=a \bmod n$, where $a, c$, and $n$ are integers. So, the new formula will be: $a^{\wedge}(P-1)-1=0 \bmod P=k P$, where $k$ is an integer. Then if $a=2$ is substituted, the relation will be as in (1). The methodology in this paper will assume that $P>2$, so $P$ is always an odd integer, and $(P-1)$ is always an even integer.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\wedge}(P-1)-1=k P \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. Divisibility test by $\boldsymbol{p}$ by blocks

If it is assumed that the binary number $B$ which its divisibility over $P$ is under test has $c$ bits $\left(b_{c-1} b_{3} b_{2} b_{1} b_{0}\right)_{2}$. So it can be represented as: $B=\sum_{j=0}^{c-1} b_{j} 2^{j}$. In the same time $B$ can be re-written as series of $m$ bits blocks (assuming $c$ is a multiple of $m$ by padding the most significant bits (MSBs) as 0 ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} b_{m i+r} 2^{m i+r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $h=c / m=$ number of blocks in $B, m$ is the minimum number which can satisfy the below (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{m}-1=k P \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $k$ is an integer and prime number $P>2$. By comparing with (1), it can be concluded that $m$ exists at least once and the maximum of $m$ is: $\operatorname{Max}(m)=(P-1)$. An algorithm to find the minimum value of $m$ that can satisfy (3) can loop with increasing value of $m$ as shown in Figure 1, Also we can express $2^{m}$ from (3) above as: $2^{m}=k P+1$. If both sides have been raised to the power ( $i$ ) then the result will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{m}\right)^{i}=(k P+1)^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the binomial theorem formula [22]-[25]:

$$
(a+b)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} a^{k} b^{n-k}
$$

If the binomial theorem is applied to the expression $\left(2^{m}\right)^{i}$. After substituting (4) then the result will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{m}\right)^{i}=(k P+1)^{i}=\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k} 1^{i-k}(k P)^{i}=1+k P \sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(k P)^{i-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\binom{i}{k}$ is always a positive integer, then the part $\left[k P \sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k} a^{k}(k P)^{i-1}\right]$ is always divisible by $P$. Now the term ( $2^{m i}$ ) can be taken as a common term out of the inner sum series in (2). So the result will be:

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 2^{m i} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} b_{m i+r} 2^{r}
$$

And by substituting (5) into it.

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1}\left(1+k P \sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(k P)^{i-1}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} b_{m i+r} 2^{r}
$$

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} b_{m i+r} 2^{r}\right]+k P \sum_{i=0}^{h-1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(k P)^{i-1}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} b_{m i+r} 2^{r}\right]
$$

Let assume $B_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} b_{m i+r} 2^{r}\right] \\
& B_{1}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\left(2^{r}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $B_{1}$ became the divisibility test since the other part of $B$ is a multiple of $P . B_{1}$ is a repetitive bitwise addition of the successive blocks of $m$ bits. Now to complete the calculation of $B_{1}$, each result of bitwise addition between blocks should be multiplied by its binary weight $2^{r}$. From above discussion, we can conclude the below general theorem: to test the divisibility of binary number $B$ on a prime number $P>2$, then $B$ can be separated into multiple blocks and bitwise additions of the blocks can be performed and if the resulted binary number is divisible by $P$ then $B$ is divisible by $P$.


Figure 1. An algorithm to find the minimum block size $m$ depending on $P$

### 2.2.1. Binary digit weight normalization

In order to further improve the divisibility test algorithm, the multiples of $P$ from each bit weight $2^{r}$ should be removed. So the next step is to find the normalized bit weight matrix $Q[m]$. Each entity $Q_{r}$ in $Q[m]$ shud not exceed the range shown in Figure 2, so it should satisfy the below inequality:

$$
\left|Q_{r}\right| \leq \frac{(P-1)}{2}
$$



Figure 2. The range of normalized $Q_{r}$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{r}=2^{r} \bmod P \text { if } 0 \leq\left(2^{r} \bmod P\right) \leq \frac{(P-1)}{2} \\
& Q_{r}=\left(2^{r} \bmod P\right)-P \text { if } \frac{(P-1)}{2}<\left(2^{r} \bmod P\right) \leq(P-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on that, the following relation can be concluded

$$
Q_{r}=2^{r}-k_{r} P
$$

Where $k_{r}$ can be positive integer, negative integer, or zero, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{r}=Q_{r}+k_{r} P \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To construct the array

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
Q_{0} & Q_{1} & \ldots Q_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The algorithm shown in Figure 3 was used. The algorithm will generate $Q[m]$ for the given prime number input. To illustrate further the concept, Table 1 shows the block size $m$ and $Q[m]$ for the prime numbers in the range $2<P<50$.


Figure 3. Construct $Q$ and $T$ matrices

Table 1. Listing the $Q$ for all $2<P<50$

| Prime ( $P$ ) | Block size ( $m$ ) | $Q[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | [1-1] |
| 5 | 4 | [12-1-2] |
| 7 | 3 | [12-3] |
| 11 | 10 | [1124-3 5-1-2 -3 -5] |
| 13 | 12 | [12 $24-5366-1-2-45-3-6]$ |
| 17 | 8 | [12 248 -1-2-4-8] |
| 19 | 18 | [12 $28-3-67-59-1-2-4-8366-75-9]$ |
| 23 | 11 | [12 248 -7 9-5-10 3 6-11] |
| 29 | 28 | [124-8-13 $3612-5-109-11714-1-2-4-813-3-6-12510-911-7-14]$ |
| 31 | 5 | [1248-15] |
| 37 | 36 | [124816-5-10 17-3-6-12 13-11 15-7-14 9 18-1-2-4-8-16510-17 3 6 12-13 11-15 7 14-9-18] |
| 41 | 20 | [124816-9-185 10 20-1-2-4-8-169 18-5-10-20] |
| 43 | 14 | [124816-11 21 -1-2-4-8-16 11-21] |
| 47 | 23 | [124816-15 17-13 21-5-10-20 7 14-19 9 18-11-22 36 12-23] |

By substituting the value of $2^{r}$ from (7) in (6), the below equation will be obtained:

$$
B_{1}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\left(k_{r} P+Q_{r}\right)\right]
$$

Which be processed further

$$
B_{1}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(k_{r} P \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}+Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right]
$$

$$
B_{1}=P \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(k_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right]+\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right]
$$

Then $B_{2}$ will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $B_{2}$ is defined as in (8). Then $B_{2}$ will be the part to decide about the divisibility of $B$ since the other part of $B_{1}$ is multiple of $P$. In this case the algorithm should include the accumulation of each block of $m$ bits in $B$ into a temporary array called $S[m], S=\left[\begin{array}{lll}S_{0} & S_{1} & \ldots S_{m-1}\end{array}\right]$ where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the sum of each corresponding bits with the same weight can be taken to accumulate the matrix $S[m]$. Now substitute (9) into (8) we can (10). Then total_sum is accumulated by multiplying each Sum $S_{r}$ by its corresponding weight $Q_{r}$ similar to matrix multiplication. In order to convert this mathematical formula to a practical algorithm, if the resulting number is greater than the original prime number, i.e. if (total sum $>P$ ), then total sum is injected into the same algorithm in a repeating manner until a value of total sum $\leq P$ is reached, then divisibility can be judged by comparing the result to $P$ or 0 as shown in Figure 4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Total_Sum }=B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(Q_{r} S_{r}\right)\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. Testing the divisibility of number b on prime number $P$

### 2.3. Flowcharts of suggested system

In order to implement the general rules explained in this paper, below flow charts in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 explain the procedures. Figure 1 explains the finding of block Size depending on $P$. Figure 2 illustrates how to construct $Q$ array, and finally Figure 3 shows the algorithm for testing the divisibility of number $b$ on prime number $P$.

### 2.4. Derving divisibility rules for first primes

In this section we are going to derive simple rules to find $B_{2}$ for the first prims in the natural numbers $>2$. The rules will be in terms of separating the binary number $B$. The binary number $B$ will separate to small blocks and then manipulate each block by simple rule the adding or subtracting the results.

### 2.4.1. Divisibility by 3 rule

The algorithm can be specialized for $P=3$ starting from (8). For $P=3, m=2, Q=[1-1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right] \\
& B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{1}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{2 i+r}\right)\right] \\
& B_{2}=(1)\left(b_{0}+b_{2}+\cdots\right)+(-1)\left(b_{1}+b_{3}+\cdots\right)=\left(b_{0}+b_{2}+\cdots\right)-\left(b_{1}+b_{3}+\cdots\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4.2. Divisibility by 5 rule

The algorithm can be specialized for $P=5$ starting from (8). For $P=5, m=4, Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}12-1 & -2\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right] \\
B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{3}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{4 i+r}\right)\right] \\
B_{2}=(1)\left(b_{0}+b_{4}+\cdots\right)+(2)\left(b_{1}+b_{5}+\cdots\right)+(-1)\left(b_{2}+b_{6}+\cdots\right)+(-2)\left(b_{3}+b_{7}+\cdots\right) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.4.3. Divisibility by 7 rule

The algorithm can be specialized for $P=7$ starting from (5), For $P=7, m=3, Q=[12-3]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{m i+r}\right)\right] \\
& B_{2}=\sum_{r=0}^{2}\left[\left(Q_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} b_{3 i+r}\right)\right] \\
& B_{2}=(1)\left(b_{0}+b_{3}+\cdots\right)+(2)\left(b_{1}+b_{4}+\cdots\right)+(-3)\left(b_{2}+b_{5}+\cdots\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Test the divisibility by 3

For $P=3$ and $m=2 Q=[1-1]$, as an application of (11) have been derived as in section 2.4.1, Table 2 shows two sample numbers to test their divisibility on 3 . First the number is converted to binary and then it is segmented into blocks and then take the sum of each digit separately and finally multiply it by its normalized weight and check if the result is greater than 3 then take the result into another round and if the result is equal or less than 3 then if it is 0 or 3 then the number is divisible by 3 otherwise it is judged to be not divisible. Table 2 shows the test results for $P=3$ sample no. 1 , and also this table illustares the est results for $P=3$ sample no. 2.

### 3.2. Test the divisibility by 5

For $P=5, m=4$, and $Q=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & -1\end{array}-2\right]$, as an application of (12) have been derived as in section 2.4.2, Table 3 shows two sample numbers to test their divisibility on 5 . First the number is converted to binary and then it is segmented into blocks and then take the sum of each digit separately and finally multiply it by its normalized weight and check if the result is greater than 5 then take the result into another round and if the result is equal or less than 5 then if it is 0 or 5 then the number is divisible by 5 otherwise it is judged to be not divisible. Table 3 shows the test results for $P=5$ sample no. 1, and also Table 3 explains the test results for $P=5$ sample no. 2 .

| Sample no | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decimal | 569,841,236,988 | 5,698,426 |
| Binary | 1000010010101101001011110011101111111100 | 010101101111001101111010 |
| Round 1 | 10 | 01 |
|  | 00 | 01 |
|  | 01 | 01 |
|  | 00 | 10 |
|  | 10 | 11 |
|  | 10 | 11 |
|  | 11 | 00 |
|  | 01 | 11 |
|  | 00 | 01 |
|  | 10 | 11 |
|  | 11 | 10 |
|  | 11 | 10 |
|  | 00 | 78 |
|  | 11 |  |
|  | 10 | Total_Sum $=(-1) \times+(1) \times 8=-1$ |
|  | 11 |  |
|  | 11 |  |
|  | 11 |  |
|  | 11 |  |
|  | 00 |  |
|  | 1310 |  |
|  | Total_Sum $=(-1) \times 13+(1) \times 10=-3$ |  |
| \|Total sum| | 3 ( 3 ( | 1 |
| Divisible by 3 | Yes | No |

Table 3. Test results for $P=5$ sample no. 1

| Sample no | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decimal | 569,841,236,990 | 5,698,426 |
| Binary | 1000010010101101001011110011101111111110 | 010101101111001101111010 |
| Round 1 | 1000 | 0101 |
|  | 0100 | 0110 |
|  | 1010 | 1111 |
|  | 1101 | 0011 |
|  | 0010 | 0111 |
|  | 1111 | 1010 |
|  | 0011 | 2454 |
|  | 1011 | Total $_{\text {sum }}=(-2) \times 2+(-1) \times 4$ |
|  | 1111 | $+(2) \times 5+(1) \times 4=6$ |
|  | 1110 |  |
|  | 7575 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total }_{\text {sum }}=(-2) \times 7+(-1) \times 5+(2) \times 7 \\ & +(1) \times 5=0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Round 2 |  | 0110 |
|  |  | 0110 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total }_{\text {sum }}=(-2) \times 0+(-1) \times 1 \\ & +(2) \times 1+(1) \times 0=1 \end{aligned}$ |
| \|Total Sum| | 0 | 1 |
| Divisible by 5 | Yes | No |

### 3.3. Test the divisibility by 7

For $P=7, m=3$, and $Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}-3\right]$, as an application of (13) have been derived as in section 2.4.3, Table 4 shows two sample numbers to test their divisibility on 7 . First the number is converted to binary and then it is segmented into blocks and then take the sum of each digit separately and finally multiply it by its normalized weight and check if the result is greater than 7 then take the result into another round and if the result is equal or less than 7 then if it is 0 or 7 then the number is divisible by 7 otherwise it is judged to be not divisible. Table 4 shows the test results for $P=7$ sample no. 1 , and Table 4 illustrates the test results for $P=7$ sample no. 2.

### 3.4. Test the divisibility by $\mathbf{2}<\boldsymbol{p}<\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$

A program in python v3 was developed based on the algorithms in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3. This program is to test the divisibility of the numbers from $2<B<10^{10}$ on the primes in the range $2<\mathrm{P}<1000$. This python will compare its finding with results obtained with trandational mod operator and all results were compatible which proves the validity of the dervired rules.

| Sample no | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decimal | 569 | 5,698,427 |
| Binary | 001000111001 | 010101101111001101111011 |
| Round 1 | 001 | 010 |
|  | 000 | 101 |
|  | 111 | 101 |
|  | $\underline{001}$ | 111 |
|  | 113 | 001 |
|  | Total_Sum $=(-3) \times 1+(2) \times 1+(1) \times 3=2$ | 101 |
|  |  | 111 |
|  |  | 011 |
|  |  | 547 |
|  |  | Total_Sum $=(-3) \times 5+(2) \times 4+(1) \times 7=0$ |
| \|Total Sum| | 2 | 0 |
| Divisible by 7 | No | Yes |

## 4. CONCLUSION

This research suggests a new rule for the divisibility of any binary number on any prime number greater than 2 . This new rule depends on a special proses on a separated blocks of the binary number with a special algorithm to test the possible divisibility on the prime number. After testing this new rule with prime numbers 3,5 and 7 as a sample of prime numbers, the finding shows that this rule provides a fast and exact results. The following conclusions can be derived regarding the $Q(m)$ array for each prime number: i) the $Q$ array represents a map for the corresponding prime divisibility; ii) the sum of the elements of $Q$ array is always zero; iii) the block size is always $(P-1)$ or one of its dividers; and iv) hen the block size is ( $P-1$ ), the second half of the array is the negative image of the first half, and the last element in the first half is exactly $(P-1) / 2$, so for example, $P=11, m=10, Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}124-35-1-2-4 & 3-5\end{array}\right]$.
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